

SPP- MISO Monthly Conference Call

February 6th, 2014

• Action Items •

2:00 p.m. – 3:00 p.m. CDT

- SPP will propose potential dates to MISO for a Face to Face meeting between Generation Interconnection SME's to work on creating new BPM Language and overall improvements to the GI coordination processes.
- MISO to create a signed document that acknowledges both parties are covered under the confidentiality provisions of the JOA to share data without the need for additional signed NDAs.
- MISO will provide a draft agenda for the February Face to Face meeting on Scope Development

SPP- MISO Monthly Conference Call

February 6th, 2014

• Meeting Notes •

2:00 p.m. – 3:00 p.m. CDT

1) Administrative Items

- a. Review of Agenda**
- b. Approval of last meeting's minutes**

Meeting minutes from the January 10th JPC call were reviewed and conditionally approved pending SPP incorporating MISO's suggested edits. These minutes will be posted on the SPP and MISO websites.

- c. Review of last meeting's action items**
 - i. SPP to send MISO the specifics of the HPILS Seams Projects.**

SPP is still currently waiting on a signed Non-Disclosure Agreement (NDA) from MISO before sending the requested data. Once an NDA is received SPP will begin the process of compiling and sending the information.

- ii. **Schedule a face to face meeting in February for SPP and MISO Staff to discuss scoping and models for the Coordinated System Plan.**

A meeting has been scheduled for February 27th and 28th. SPP is hosting the meeting at the SPP campus in Little Rock, AR.

- iii. **SPP will create the first draft of a report / chart to show the ongoing progress of the CSP for the SPP and MISO websites.**

SPP sent MISO an initial draft of the progress report / chart. MISO acknowledged receiving the draft and will send their comments to SPP once they have completed their review.

2) IARR Discussion

Prior to the meeting MISO sent SPP a presentation on “Market Participant Funded Upgrades”. MISO went through the presentation giving SPP more information on their current process. SPP wanted to make sure there was no communication gap in either the SPP or MISO process.

3) Generation Interconnections (that may affect the seam)

a. BPM Language

MISO has the latest edits SPP provided to the BPM Language. MISO stated they are reviewing the edits and are relatively ok with them. MISO noted the original language came about when process improvements were made between MISO and PJM and that new language between SPP and MISO would better serve each party. MISO has suggested a Face to Face meeting would be the most efficient way to accomplish creating the new document. SPP was open to the suggestion. Both parties agreed to work together to find a date for this meeting to take place. **ACTION ITEM: SPP to propose dates to MISO for a Face to Face meeting.**

4) NDA Requirements

SPP raised the question is an NDA required when sharing planning data between SPP and MISO? SPP pointed out that in the past SPP and MISO shared data under the confidentiality of the JOA without the need for a signed NDA. Until in April 2013 when MISO required an NDA be signed when SPP requested some planning data from a study MISO was performing. Following this change in past practice, SPP made clear that MISO would also be required to sign an NDA before data would be shared. MISO suggested that this policy be reset and that sharing information under the confidentiality of the JOA will be sufficient to omit the need for an NDA. MISO said they have consulted their attorneys and would like to restart the process of sharing information under the JOA. SPP agreed under the conditions that an NDA be signed for the current data request and that MISO create a signed document stating that both

parties are covered under the JOA to share data without an NDA being signed. **ACTION ITEM: MISO to create a signed document that states both parties are covered under the JOA to share data without the need for a signed NDA.**

5) IPSAC Meetings

a. Schedule and Location for Upcoming Meetings

SPP proposed there be an IPSAC conference call in March 2014 to give stakeholders an additional opportunity to provide input in the CSP Scope document. SPP sent a proposal via email to MISO. MISO stated that a conference call is not needed and that a sufficient amount of stakeholder input can be obtained through the regional process and at the next IPSAC meeting scheduled for April 8th, 2014 in Carmel, IN. SPP stated that they still preferred scheduling a conference call but since there is not agreement no call will be held.

There is a meeting between SPP and MISO staffs set up for February 27th and 28th to work on Scope Development. The meeting will be held at SPP's offices in Little Rock, AR. **ACTION ITEM: MISO will provide a draft agenda for the February Face to Face meeting on Scope Development.**

6) Coordinated Studies

a. Joint Model Development for CSP

SPP wanted to make sure MISO would have the appropriate modeling staff at the February scope development meeting. SPP sees this as one of the steps that will require the most time in the CSP process and wanted to make sure we can begin work on it as soon as possible. MISO committed to having the modeling people in attendance or dialed into the meeting.

b. Study Progress Report / Chart

This item was discussed in the review of last meetings action items.

c. Process for Information Distribution

SPP wanted to make sure that the information being posted by both SPP and MISO throughout the CSP process was consistent and simultaneous with each other. Both parties agreed that before any information was posted on either the SPP or MISO website regarding the CSP an email confirmation from the other party would be obtained. The contact person for SPP is Brett Hooton and for MISO it is Jenell Katheiser.

7) MTEP and ITP Status Updates



SPP provided an update on the ITP10 stating that currently SPP staff is currently waiting on the models for the ITP10 to be approved and finalized. SPP also noted the constraint assessment is also in the process of starting.

MISO provided an update on their MTEP process stating that the process was currently waiting on models to be built and then they would go to the sub regional planning meetings in the March to April time frame. Next steps will be the evaluation of identified projects. SPP posed the question if Entergy's construction plans were included in the models used. MISO answered that most of Entergy's construction plan is included in the model with some proposed projects with further out in-service dates not included.

8) Models

a. Integrated System Modeling

SPP made MISO aware that SPP will include the Integrated System (WAPA, Basin, Heartland) in all future model builds.

9) Transmission Service Requests (that may affect the seam)

No Report

10) Generation Retirements (that may affect the seam)

No Report

11) Transmission projects (that may affect the seam)

SPP made MISO aware of a meeting between SPP, Entergy, and Cleco regarding seams projects identified in the HPILS.

12) Policy Issues Affecting the seam

No Report

13) New Business

No New Business

Attendees:

- SPP
 - Adam Bell
 - Brett Hooton



- David Kelley
 - Doug Clark
 - Charles Cate
 - Ty Mitchell
 - Charles Hendrix
-
- MISO
 - Jenell Katheiser
 - Jeremiah Doner
 - Laura Rauch
 - Edin Habibovic
 - Meera Shukula
 - Vikram Godbole
 - Brett Furuness