

Congestion Management Process Council Notes
December 16, 2014 11 AM to 12PM EST/10 AM to 11 AM CST
Conference Call

1. Introductions/Roll Call

Manitoba Hydro: Maria Neufeld*(Chair) Allan Silk (Secretary)
MAPP: Dan Fredrickson*, Steve Watry
MISO: Jennifer Curran*, Tom Mallinger, Ron Arness
PJM: Stu Bresler*, Rebecca Carroll, Joe Rushing
SPP: Jason Davis*,
TVA: Armando Rodriguez*, Marshalia Green, Josh Shultz
WAPA: Lloyd Linke*
* Voting Member

Regrets David Kelley(SPP)

2. Review and Approve Agenda

a. Approval of the Agenda

The Agenda was approved by the CMPC.

3. Review and Approval of Minutes and Notes

a. Approval of the October 1, 2014 Meeting Minutes

The minutes of the October 1 2014 meeting were approved by the CMPC.

b. Approval of the October 1, 2014 Meeting Notes

The meeting notes of the October 1 2014 meeting were approved by the CMPC.

4. Confirmation of CMPC and CMPWG Representatives

5. CMPWG Update on Freeze Date Replacement – Ron Arness MISO

The CMPWG is reviewing four options, three presented by MISO and one presented jointly by SPP and PJM.

MISO's three options are :

Option A – This option is referred to as the NAESB option. MISO has withdrawn this option.

Option B – This option would replace the freeze date with yearly updates. The amount of new capacity that could be added would equal the MISO/PJM capacity construct for DNRs. This option out of the ones that MISO proposed is getting the most attention during discussions.

Option C – This option keeps the present set of DNRs plus the ability to add new DNRs out of the MISO/PJM capacity construct and add current set of TSRs. This would require the development of Appendix “G” criteria.

The PJM/SPP option would allow for annual updates, would introduce a reciprocity factor and first rights would go to the flowgate owner.

The direction of the council was to put the most emphasis on MISO Option B and the PJM/SPP Options.

6. PJM Motion

The following motion was moved by PJM and seconded by SPP.

PJM requests that the CMPWG develop a Market Flow calculation methodology to capture External Pseudo Tied generator Market Flow impacts to serve market area load

This motion is to address resources external to the market. The purpose is to have the CMPWG examine pseudo tied resources and to determine how they could be captured into market flow. This may require a change to the CMP.

The motion passed unanimously.

7. MISO Motion

The following motion was moved by MISO and seconded by MH.

MISO requests that the CMPWG develop a Market Flow calculation methodology to capture export/import EAR. Whether CMP revisions are needed for bi-directional EAR should be part of the recommendation.

Import External Asynchronous Resource (EAR) is a MISO tariff product. MISO recently received FERC approval for an export EAR product. MISO is looking for the CMPWG to make recommendation to the council on how this can be accounted for in market flow.

The motion passed unanimously.

8. Action Item Review

The action Item review did not occur at this meeting due to a shortage of time.

The outstanding action items as listed in the agenda are:

- a. Transparency
- b. CMPWG will continue to discuss the legitimacy of automating and producing metrics reports more frequently.

9. Next Meeting

February 2, 2015 1pm CST/2pm EST

10. Adjourn

DRAFT